


OCT 10 2005

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:	
_____ deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at 571-833-8300 672-9396	
<u>10/10/05</u> Date	 Signature
<u>4 pgs. total</u>	<u>RAQUEL WEST</u> Type or printed name of person signing certificate

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor:	Franky So
Application No.:	10/666,283
Filing Date:	September 18, 2003
Group Art Unit:	1774
Examiner:	Thompson, Camie S.
Title:	Improved Emissive Polymer Layer
Attorney Docket No.:	2003P11516US

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

A Restriction Requirement for the above case was mailed on August 23, 2005 with a one month period to respond. The Examiner restricted the claims as follows: group I (i.e., claims 1-10 and 26-30) is directed to an emissive polymer layer and an organic light emitting diode; group II (i.e., claims 11-19) is directed to a method to the produce the emissive polymer layer; and group III (i.e., claims 20-25) is a method to emit

Docket No.: 2003P11516US

visible light from an emissive layer. The Examiner asserts that Group I, Group II, and Group III are distinct inventions.

In response to the Restriction Requirement, Applicants assert that the search and examination of all of the claims can be made without serious burden to the Examiner, therefore, the Examiner should examine all the claims on the merits even if the application includes claims to three independent or distinct inventions. See MPEP § 803.

In the event that the instant Restriction Requirement is maintained despite the above argument, the Applicants provisionally elect Group I (i.e., claims 1-10, and 26-30) with traverse for the reasons presented above, and respectfully request that upon the finding of allowability of Group I, the claims of Group II be rejoined as set forth at MPEP 821.04.


The Applicants expressly reserve the right under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to file a divisional application directed to the nonelected subject matter during the pendency of this application, or an application claiming priority of this application.

Applicants respectfully submit that the pending claims are in condition for allowance and seek early allowance thereof. If for some reason the Examiner is unable to allow the claims in the next Office Action and believes that an interview would be helpful, it is requested that the Examiner contact the undersigned.

PLEASE MAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Siemens Corporation
Customer No. 28524
Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator
170 Wood Avenue South
Iselin, NJ 08830

Respectfully submitted,


Thomas George, Reg. No. 45,740
Attorney(s) for Applicant(s)
Telephone: 650-694-5191
Date: Oct. 7, 2005